INCREASING THE FEE OF JURORS IN CONDEMNATION PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED BY THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

MARCH 7 (legislative day, JANUARY 29), 1951.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. HUNT, from the Committee on the District of Columbia, submitted the following

# REPORT

[To accompany S. 488]

The Committee on the District of Columbia, to whom was referred the bill (S. 488) to increase the fee of jurors in condemnation proceedings instituted by the District of Columbia, having considered the same, report favorably thereon without amendment and recommend that the bill do pass.

The purpose of this bill is to increase the compensation for jurors in condemnation cases brought by the District of Columbia Government from \$5 to \$10 per day. At the present time petit and grand jurors receive \$7 per day. The Federal Government pays condemnation receive \$7 per day. The Federal Government pays condemnation jurors \$10 per day. Under existing law, condemnation jurors must have not only the qualifications of regular jurors, but in addition must be freeholders and, accordingly, are selected from a special jury panel.

The bill has the approval of the Commissioners of the District of

Columbia, and was unanimously reported by the full Committee.

#### CHANGES IN EXISTING LAW

In compliance with subsection (4) of rule XXIX of the Standing Rules of the Senate, changes in existing law in the bill, as reported, are shown as follows (existing law proposed to be omitted is enclosed in black brackets, new matter is printed in italics, existing law in which no change is proposed is shown in roman):

(34 Stat. 151) April 30, 1906

[Sec. 491L. Each juror shall receive as compensation for his services the sum of five dollars per day for every day necessarily employed in the performance of the duties herein prescribed.]

(33 Stat. 733) February 21, 1905

[Sec. 1609. That each juror shall receive as compensation the sum of five dollars per day for his services during the time he shall be actually engaged in such services under the provisions hereof.]

Mr. Hines from the Momenton on the District of Columbia,

## TROSTAN

## Bet & vacqueers of

The Committee on the bullifier of Color big, is when was Abarod of the color was a contemporal of the color o

The same and the same in the same is the common and the common and the common and the same and the common and the same and the same and the common at the same and the common and the same and the same

#### THE CONTRACTOR OF STREET

In carridgame with subsendent (1) of rule X.XX of the Manding Roles of the reduction matter of the second, are short as a college, the extent of the follows is an account to the follows before the proposed to be contitled as an along the college blue to be a college of the co

MOCI TO THE A CATE OF THE ARE

The control of a state of the control of the contro

The second state of the second state remains as comprehensive that all the state of the real of the real second se